UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/570,824	10/31/2006	Bakulesh Mafatlal Khamar	574152000700	7709
7278 DARBY & DA	7590 04/01/200 RBY P.C.	9	EXAM	INER
P.O. BOX 770 Church Street Station			COPPINS, JANET L	
New York, NY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1626	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/01/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/570,824	KHAMAR ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	JANET L. COPPINS	1626
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mai earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed in the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,17,22 and 23 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 2-16,18-21 and 24-27 is/are objected. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examination The specification The specification is objected to by the Examination The specification The specificat	rawn from consideration. ed to. l/or election requirement. ner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and an an applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Seection is required if the drawing(s) is of	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume * See the attached detailed Office action for a limited 	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applica riority documents have been receive eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date

Application/Control Number: 10/570,824 Page 2

Art Unit: 1626

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-27 are currently pending in the instant application.

Priority

.2. The instant application is a 371 of International Application PCT/IB2004/002879.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1, 17, 22 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- (a) Claim 1 is rejected as being indefinite for reciting "an organic solvent (A)," "an organic solvent (B)" and "an organic solvent (C)" however, Applicants have failed to define (A), (B), or (C) within the claim itself. Therefore it is unclear from the claim itself what solvents Applicants are intending to recite.
- (b) Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph as being an *improper product-use* claim. Specifically, since the claimed invention is not supported by either a specific and substantial asserted utility or a well established utility for the reasons set forth below, one skilled in the art clearly would not know how to use the claimed invention. The claim is drafted in terms of potassium hydroxide being "used to obtain..." but, since the claims do not set forth any steps involved in the "use" (i.e. method/process), it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a "use" without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

Application/Control Number: 10/570,824 Page 3

Art Unit: 1626

(c) Claims 22 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 22 is generally narrative and confusing, it is unclear what Applicants are intending to encompass by the claim language. The Examiner assumes that Applicants are attempting to recite an organic solvent, which is capable of dissolving the trityl by-product, but which is also immiscible with water. Clarification is requested.

(d) Claim 23 is rejected for reciting "...and like" which is indefinite since it encompasses additional, unrecited solvents. Therefore the metes and bounds of the claim cannot be ascertained.

Claim Objections

- 5. Claims 13-18 are directed to the process of "claims" 1, (plural) however it appears that each claim is only dependent on a single claim, i.e. claim 1. Appropriate correction is requested.
- 6. Claims 2-16, 18-21 and 24-27 are objected to as being dependent on rejected base claims.

Conclusion

7. In conclusion, claims 1-27 are pending in the application, claims 1, 17, 22 and 23 are rejected, and claims 2-16, 18-21 and 24-27 are objected to.

Telephone Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JANET L. COPPINS whose telephone number is (571)272-0680. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph K. McKane can be reached on 571.272.0699. The fax phone number for the

Application/Control Number: 10/570,824 Page 4

Art Unit: 1626

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Janet L. Coppins/
Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1626
March 28, 2009

/REI-TSANG SHIAO/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1626